

Year Group 8 Subject Science Assessment Framework

Assessment Objective	Grade 1	Grade 2-3	Grade 4-5	Grade 6-7	Grade 8+
Thinking scientifically Test	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify differences, similarities or changes related to simple scientific ideas, processes or phenomena • Respond to ideas given to them to answer questions or suggest solutions to problems • Represent things in the real world using simple physical models • Use straightforward scientific evidence to answer questions, or to support their findings 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use scientific ideas when describing simple processes or phenomena • Use simple models to describe scientific ideas • Identify scientific evidence that is being used to support or refute ideas or arguments 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use abstract ideas or models or more than one step when describing processes or phenomena • Explain processes or phenomena, suggest solutions to problems or answer questions by drawing on abstract ideas or models • Recognise scientific questions that do not yet have definitive answers • Identify the use of evidence and creative thinking by scientists in the development of scientific ideas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use abstract ideas or models or multiple factors when explaining processes or phenomena • Identify the strengths and weaknesses of particular models • Describe some scientific evidence that supports or refutes particular ideas or arguments, including those in development • Explain how new scientific evidence is discussed and interpreted by the scientific community and how this may lead to changes in scientific ideas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Make explicit connections between abstract ideas and/or models in explaining processes or phenomena • Employ a systematic approach in deciding the relative importance of a number of scientific factors when explaining processes or phenomena • Explain how different pieces of evidence support accepted scientific ideas or contribute to questions that science cannot fully answer • Explain the processes by which ideas and evidence are accepted or rejected by the scientific community
Understanding the applications and implications of science Debates	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explain the purposes of a variety of scientific or technological developments • Link applications to specific characteristics or properties • Identify aspects of our lives, or of the work that people do, which are based on scientific ideas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe some simple positive and negative consequences of scientific and technological developments • Recognise applications of specific scientific ideas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe different viewpoints a range of people may have about scientific or technological developments • Indicate how scientific or technological developments may affect 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe how different decisions on the uses of scientific and technological developments may be made in different economic, social or cultural contexts • Explain how societies are affected by particular 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggest ways in which scientific and technological developments may be influenced • Explain how scientific discoveries can change worldviews

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify aspects of science used within particular jobs or roles 	<p>different groups of people in different ways</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify ethical or moral issues linked to scientific or technological developments • Link applications of science or technology to their underpinning scientific ideas 	<p>scientific applications or ideas</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe how particular scientific or technological developments have provided evidence to help scientists pose and answer further questions • Describe how aspects of science are applied in particular jobs or roles 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggest economic, ethical/moral, social or cultural arguments for and against scientific or technological developments • Explain how creative thinking in science and technology generates ideas for future research and development
<p>Communicating and collaborating in science</p> <p>Peer/LORIC?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Present simple scientific data in more than one way, including tables and bar charts • Use scientific forms of language when communicating simple scientific ideas, processes or phenomena • Identify simple advantages of working together on experiments or investigations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Select appropriate ways of presenting scientific data • Use appropriate scientific forms of language to communicate scientific ideas, processes or phenomena • Use scientific and mathematical conventions when communicating information or ideas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Distinguish between opinion and scientific evidence in contexts related to science, and use evidence rather than opinion to support or challenge scientific arguments • Decide on the most appropriate formats to present sets of scientific data, such as using line graphs for continuous variables • Use appropriate scientific and mathematical conventions and terminology to communicate abstract ideas • Suggest how collaborative approaches to specific experiments or investigations may improve the evidence collected 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify lack of balance in the presentation of information or evidence • Choose forms to communicate qualitative or quantitative data appropriate to the data and the purpose of the communication • Distinguish between data and information from primary sources, secondary sources and simulations, and present them in the most appropriate form 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explain how information or evidence from various sources may be manipulated in order to influence interpretation • Effectively represent abstract ideas using appropriate symbols, flow diagrams and different kinds of graphs in presenting explanations and arguments • Explain how scientists with different specialisms and skills have contributed to particular scientific or technological developments

<p>Using investigative approaches</p> <p>Investigation</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify one or more control variables in investigations from those provided • Select equipment or information sources from those provided to address a question or idea under investigation • Make some accurate observations or whole number measurements relevant to questions or ideas under investigation • Recognise obvious risks when prompted 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decide when it is appropriate to carry out fair tests in investigations • Select appropriate equipment or information sources to address specific questions or ideas under investigation • Make sets of observations or measurements, identifying the ranges and intervals used • Identify possible risks to themselves and others 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recognise significant variables in investigations, selecting the most suitable to investigate • Explain why particular pieces of equipment or information sources are appropriate for the questions or ideas under investigation • Repeat sets of observations or measurements where appropriate, selecting suitable ranges and intervals • Make, and act on, suggestions to control obvious risks to themselves and others 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Apply scientific knowledge and understanding in the planning of investigations, identifying significant variables and recognising which are independent and which are dependent • Justify their choices of data collection method and proposed number of observations and measurements • Collect data choosing appropriate ranges, numbers and values for measurements and observations • Independently recognise a range of familiar risks and take action to control them 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Formulate questions or ideas that can be investigated by synthesising information from a range of sources • Identify key variables in complex contexts, explaining why some cannot readily be controlled and planning appropriate approaches to investigations to take account of this • Explain how to take account of sources of error in order to collect reliable data • Recognise the need for risk assessments and consult, and act on, appropriate sources of information
<p>Working critically with evidence</p> <p>Investigation/Test</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify straightforward patterns in observations or in data presented in various formats, including tables, pie and bar charts • Describe what they have found out in experiments or investigations, linking cause and effect • Suggest improvements to their working methods 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify patterns in data presented in various formats, including line graphs • Draw straightforward conclusions from data presented in various formats • Identify scientific evidence they have used in drawing conclusions • Suggest improvements to their working methods, giving reasons 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interpret data in a variety of formats, recognising obvious inconsistencies • Provide straightforward explanations for differences in repeated observations or measurements • Draw valid conclusions that utilise more than one piece of supporting evidence, including numerical data and line graphs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggest reasons based on scientific knowledge and understanding for any limitations or inconsistencies in evidence collected • Select and manipulate data and information and use them to contribute to conclusions • Draw conclusions that are consistent with the evidence they have collected and explain them using scientific knowledge and understanding 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explain how data can be interpreted in different ways and how unexpected outcomes could be significant • Identify quantitative relationships between variables, using them to inform conclusions and make further predictions • Assess the strength of evidence, deciding whether it is sufficient to support a conclusion • Explain ways of modifying working

			<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Evaluate the effectiveness of their working methods, making practical suggestions for improving them	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Make valid comments on the quality of their data	methods to improve reliability
--	--	--	---	---	--------------------------------